

NEW YORK NY 10020-1105



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

3722

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/606,426 06/28/00 HILICKI R HEH-2 **EXAMINER** 001473 QM12/0824 FISH & NEAVE HENDERSON M PAPER NUMBER 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS **ART UNIT** 50TH FLOOR

DATE MAILED: 08/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		···
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/606,426	HILICKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark T Henderson	3722
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35,37-61 and 63-68</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other: WILLIAM FRIDIE, JR. WILLIAM FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY 3200		





Continuation of 2. NOTE: In regards to Applicant's argument that none of the prior art discloses coin receivable apertures located (defined) in the exterior of at least one of the covers, the examiner submits that again that it would have been obvious to one having ordianry skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the aperture at any desirable location on the cover (whether it is inside or outside the cover), since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. By placing the apertures on the exterior side of the cover, the cover is only further being used as a display device by enhancing its marketability. The "operation of the device (display book) would not be modified", since shifting of the aperture from the interior to the exterior only enhances the display.